



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/622,071

07/16/2003

Bruce Edward Stuckman

8285/628

1839

757 7590 10/07/2008  
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, IL 60610

EXAMINER

AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/07/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/622,071	<b>Applicant(s)</b> STUCKMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RASHA S. AL AUBAIDI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 26-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 26-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Amendment***

1. This in response to amendment filed 06/09/2008. No claims have been added. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been amended. Claims 26-47 are still pending in this application.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 26-28, 30-36, 38-44 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boakes (US PAT # 5,879,468) in view of Partridge (US PAT # 5,550,915).

Regarding claims 26, 35, 39 and 43, Boakes teaches a telephone set (10) comprising: a help key (reads on shift key 24); a first telephone service key to initiate a first telephone service (reads on the memory access key 18a); and a logic circuit (which reads on the microprocessor 14) to detect actuation of the help key and the first telephone service key, and in response thereto, to retrieve help information specific to the first service (col. 2, lines 33-50).

While Boakes does not specifically teach what is stored in memory keys, one can obviously store any desired telephone number. For Example, partridge teaches storing access codes for accessing different IXCs under different buttons 103-1 - 103-4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store any desired telephone number such as the access codes taught by Partridge under the memory keys 18 of Boakes this would make accessing IXCs more convenient and one need not remember all the numbers and access codes.

Regarding claims 27, 33, 36 and 41-44 Boakes teaches a second telephone service key to initiate a second telephone service (see col. 2, lines 43-49), wherein the logic circuit (microprocessor 14) is to detect actuation of the help key and the second

Art Unit: 2614

telephone service key, and in response thereto, to retrieve help information specific to the second telephone service.

Claim 32 recites “to access a telephone server having help information specific to the first telephone service”. This limitation is obvious since any type of information can be stored either at a server or at the telephone itself based on the individual need and desire. Storing the information on the telephone or at the server will not rise the invention to the level of patentability.

Regarding claim 28, Boakes teaches the telephone set comprising a memory having the help information (reads on element 40, see col. 4, lines 15-18).

Regarding claim 30, Boakes teaches the telephone set comprising a plurality of telephone dialing keys including ten digit key, a pound key, and an asterisk key (see col. 3, lines 43-45).

Regarding claims 31, 38 and 46, the display device that visibly present the help information is obvious since many telephones uses the LCD display to display and confirms telephone information to the user.

For claims 34, 40 and 47, the use of and IVR is obvious. This limitation is old and well known in the art.

Claims 29, 37 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boakes (US PAT # 5,879,468) in view of Partridge (US PAT # 5,550,915) and further in view of Popular Mechanics (vol. 159, No. 4, April 1983, P. 199).

For claims 29, 37 and 45, the combination of Boakes and partridge does not specifically teach "an audio output device to audibly present the help information".

However, Popular Mechanics teaches a phone actually talks to you and tells the number that you pushed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of speaking up the dialed telephone number, as taught by Popular Mechanics in the combination of Boakes and Partridge system in order to serve the purpose of presenting a confirmation to the user by speaking the dialed telephone number to avoid any mistakes or confusion.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed 06/09/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is noted that Applicant's main argument is directed neither one of the references applied alone or in combination disclose or suggest at least retrieving help

Art Unit: 2614

information “wherein the help information comprises a message explaining a function of the first telephone service key”. Applicant’s specifically argues (Page 6 of the Remarks) “Partridge relates to a telephone station set arranged to automatically prefix calls, and there is no such help information in Partridge. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant’s argument for the following reasons: First, the help key or the claimed “help information” is taught by Boakes (reads on the shift key 24) and not by Partridge. Partridge was introduced to overcome the deficiency of Boakes of teaching a key that stores and contains certain information within the memory of that key. Second, as explained in the above rejection one can store any type of information within the memory of a key such as numbers, codes, prefixes, suffixes, and messages. This is really considered a design choice and it does not rise the invention to the level of patentability.

The Examiner believes that all other arguments are already addressed in the above rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2614

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614



Application/Control Number: 10/622,071  
Art Unit: 2614

Page 8